Volume and Issues Obtainable at Center for Sustainability Research and Consultancy

Journal of Accounting and Finance in Emerging Economies
ISSN: 2519-0318&ISSN (E):2518-8488
Volume 7: Issue 3 September 2021
Journal homepage: www.publishing.globalcsrc.org/jafee

Role of Capital Budgeting Techniques for Investment Decision Making: An Empirical Study of Public and Private Sector Companies in Pakistan

***Nisar Ahmad,** Lahore College for Women University, Lahore, Pakistan
Sheikh Khurram Abid, The University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan
Samia Maqbool, Lahore College for Women University, Lahore, Pakistan

*Corresponding author's email: nisarbazmi71@gmail.com

ARTICLEDETAILS

History
Revised format: Aug 2021
Available Online: Sep 2021

Keywords
Capital budgeting, Investment decision making, Budgeting practices, Discounted cash flow

JEL Classification
G31, H61

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Regardless matter whether it is a commercial or public enterprise, every business would have a capital budgeting procedure in residence. The supply of Public goods is the major force on Public sector institutions, and one means to do this is complete the execution of huge progress schemes. With the current state of affairs in Pakistan, major cost and time overruns have occurred on Public sector setup ventures. One of the most likely reasons of cost overruns is a lack of or insufficient cost and benefit ventures, as well as general venture management since the documentation period through the stage after installation period. This study used capital budgeting decision-making methodologies to assess Pakistan's present technological ambitions.
Design/Methodology/Approach: In Pakistan, one of the top corporations did a postal survey and a cross-sectional data analysis. Although empirical data suggests a shift toward genuine conceptions and discounted cash flow (DCF) approaches, corporations still fall short of the fundamental needs. Most methodologies are used, including inner proportion of return, net present value (NPV) and payback method (PBM).
Findings: The majority of corporations calculate risk adjusted cash flow, but only a small fraction of firms/businesses use the most modern risk assessment tools.
Implications/Originality/Value: In comparison to other industrialized nations, the utilization of the discounted cash flow (DCF) ratio is still quite low.

Recommended citation: Ahmad, N., Abid, S. K. and Maqbool, S. (2021). Role of Capital Budgeting Techniques for Investment Decision Making: An Empirical Study of Public and Private Sector Companies in Pakistan. *Journal of Accounting and Finance in Emerging Economies, 7(3), 813-822.*

Introduction

In addition to money resources, an asset is the division and large use of material and human resources (Avram et al, 2009). As a result, it's critical to focus resources on initiatives that will improve the entity's existing position. Many investments, on the other hand, aim not just to increase stakeholder wealth but also to bring value to groups and the budget. A bad investment choice can cost the investing economic unit and its stakeholders a lot of money (Egbide, Uwalomwa & Agbude, 2013) The Pakistani government is responsible for providing the greatest available facilities to societies in order to eradicate poverty and improve people's lives. Furthermore, activities that aid in the growth of the country's economy are required. Setup development initiatives are among them. In his budget address for the fiscal year 2014-15, the Minister of Finance declared the administration will invest Rs 837 million in the construction of new and existing setup to increase Pakistan's access to healthcare, education, water, sanitation, housing, and electricity. The Presidential Setup Coordination Committee is presently working on a number of Short and long term Investment Plans. Electricity production, transmission, and distribution setup, combined civic and public transportation setup, healthiness and teaching amenities, and water and sanitation setup are all examples of these.

While there is an emphasis on these Short and long term investment plans, most setup ventures in Pakistan have increased prices and time overruns. Investment decisions based on prior experience are included, as are large-scale resource consumption predictions. If the precision is harmed, however, the revenue of firms and businesses is jeopardized. Because investment decisions are so crucial, good decisions are targeted short long term plans (Haddad ET al, 2010) for the long run to secure a comfortable market position. Costing for principal expenditures is an essential administrative device. Current financial disaster takes strengthened view that in instruction to continue, decision-making and value generation should be focused on businesses. This investigation of companies/firms Short and long term investing in various initiatives examines how to employ sophistically to address the issue. A financial manager's responsibility is to select a sufficient cash flow and investment proportion of return. As a result, a financial investment should be able to judge if two or more options are wisely chosen. For this reason, a strong technique to analyses, compare, and pick ventures is required or business make selections based on the type of venture and their financial soundness. There hasn't been a study that plans short-term and long-term initiatives, taking into account the different types. There was no relative impact study decision-making tool selection based on venture size to see if it existed. (Graham and Harvey, 2001) Ryan and Farragher ET al, 2002) discounted cash flow (DCF) (Arnold and Hatzopoulos, 2001) prevail in the assessment procedure (Jog and Srivastava, 1995) (Payne et al, 1999) (Babu and Sharma, 1995) (Anand and Verma, 2009) (Cherukuri, 1996) discounted cash flow DCF (Pike, 2005).

Problem Statement

The Asian Development Bank 2012 claims that deciding between various setup developments alternatives necessitates a thorough cost-benefit analysis this type of analysis makes it easier to target funds to initiatives that provide the most social benefit. If this is not done, national resources will be allocated in an inefficient manner. The implementation of scientific capital budgeting assessment methodologies is required for a proper study of the budgets and benefits of ventured Public sector, as well as private sector firms/companies investments. Demands for setup principal and Public-sector policies used to meet those needs might have direct and indirect relationships which outside the legal need to conservative principal costs (Nunn, 1990) According to (Chan, 2004) municipal governments' employment of capital budgeting practices is limited, which might be due to the lack of a profit aim in the businesses. Local governments in the United States employed formal methods for assessing and prioritizing principal plans, according to (Miller, 1988). There have been no studies for Pakistani Public sector ventures to control the capital budgeting practice for growth plans and if the assessment plans employed is compatible with traditional stakeholder value-adding short and long term plans utilized in the private sector. Several governmental and private sector ventures in Pakistan have had severe price and judgment invades. An in-depth understanding of Pakistan's Public sector evaluation procedure will shed light on the significant cost and time overruns. This study aims to be an indication in the growth of information on these topics. The findings of this study will aid in the development of a policy for evaluating Public-sector ventures.

Study Purposes

The goal of this research is to examine at the capital budgeting methodologies and concepts employed by

Pakistani enterprises. Case studies of major Public-sector setup ventures will be used to assess the application of capital budgeting lines and whether they contribute significantly to venture cost and time overruns. The primary purpose of this study is to look at Pakistani capital budgets for business investment decisions.

- How the firms detect a mission?
- What are the main Capital budgeting techniques will use by companies?
- Basic quality and for using a specific method being will use by companies is Payback/NPV/MIRR/DCF.
- Businesses for dissimilar sub ventures that use different approaches.
- Discounted cash flows and market values of companies according to different discount proportions for different sub use, how weights are defined.
- The extent to which the level of education affect how the capital budget decisions?

Research Questions

The study questions that this study objects to answer are as follows:

i. Do Pakistani businesses use capital budgeting concepts while making principal investments?
ii. What capital budgeting plans does the Public sector use?
iii. Does the use of Capital budgeting techniques or the absence thereof, lead to significant setup venture cost overruns?

Hypotheses of the Study

H0: The Pakistani government uses the capital budgeting procedure to evaluate its investments.
H1: Pakistan's Public sector does not use a capital budgeting method to evaluate its investments.

Significance of the Study

This revision is significant because it examines the extent to which capital budgeting methodologies are employed in Pakistan for Public sector growth plans. Lessons may be gleaned from the literature studied for the Public sector investment assessment policy if the operational environment of the Public sector is taken into account. Because the success of every venture begins with appropriate planning and forecasts, venture managers in the Public sector will benefit from this research. The research will assist the Public since initiatives with social benefits will be implemented on time and on budget. Cost overruns will be eliminated, allowing monies to be spent on additional development ventures, which would boost Pakistan's economy.

Capital Budgeting Techniques for Decision Making

Decision on Capital budgeting Making Methods has been utilized in the planning practice, the business financing the ventures determines whether he plans to offer a deep insight to the device, and the company funding the ventures determines whether he plans to give a deep insight to the product. By subtracting the net present value of a venture's needed proportion of return, net cash flow from discovery, and initial investment, the net present value of the venture may be computed. If the NPV is zero or positive, we are in favor of the venture and it should be approved. Although the technique is negative in terms of NPV, we should not accept this venture.

The cash flow intensity of a venture is determined by two factors:

1. The discount proportion was applied.

2. The financial flow of the venture.

Internal Rate of Return

The discount proportion is equal to the inner proportion of return on the venture's original outlay cost.

$$\mathrm{NPV} = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{C_n}{(1+r)^n} = 0$$

It is common practice for the net cash flows of the venture will not be equal in all times, and the IRR will be determined through trial and error. Returning to the acceptance of a venture, the IRR is calculated by comparing it to the required proportion. We expected IRR, R> K, and then in the second situation, r=K, the scheme must be refused (P). Graham and colleagues (Graham et al., 2003). Over the net present value of future cash flows, index initial cash investment returns. Benefit – Profitability Index / Cost Ratio (PI) Subtract the discounted value of incremental benefits from the total value of the benefits. BCR is the discounted value of incremental budgets. The cost-benefit ratio is a decision rule that approves initiatives with a cost-benefit ratio greater than one and a benefit to the determined of all cost-benefit ratios less than 1 (James C. Van Horne, 2007).

Accounting Rate of Return (ARR)
The ratio between the average yearly earnings is the accounting proportion of return on a venture's initial investment.
Average Annual Earnings x Venture Initial Investment = Average Accounting Proportion of Return.

Pay Back Period (PBP)
The repayment duration of a venture's cash investment takes time to recoup, since the payback period is used as a benchmark. Because this approach isn't particularly useful, it overlooks the time worth of money. Second, the approval of a unique venture to determine the duration of the normal payback period is an opportunity to clarify what is and is not permitted. Profitable ventures in the shortest amount of time to recoup principal outlay according to this short and long term plans since those numbers, payment method does not quantify the return. Therefore, a main fault in this technique Managers both conventional and short and long term plans analysis methods taking into account an suitable evaluation criteria need to build hooked on version all cash flows cash inflows and cash outflows to the intensity and its failure (Russell *ET al., 2011).*

Modified Internal Rate of Return (MIRR)
An unruly allied with more than one IRR cash flow stream form changes the situation is signed extra than after. (James C. Van Horne, 2007). Obtained through MIRR is more realistic proportion of return on investment (Herbert Kierulffa, 2008). Two reasons MIRR IRR is considered better, first MIRR considers the cash flow reinvestment proportion reinvested in the discount proportion is the proportion that was accepted. Second, MIRR proportion of more than one issue (Prasanna Chandra, 2004) does not face.

Real Options (RO)
The uncertainties of real options theory as a whole or a part of such abandonment and expansion options that do not consider the other assessment methods .Real options and financial options as well as real assets by investment managers to consider an emerging diagnostic technique that offer flexibility rather than a liability. (Nuno's Gull, 2007) firms are living in the capital budget for such specialists; investment manual, NPV or IRR and post investment audit the use of average models for devious successful decision making approach. (Bennouna ET al, 2010) Approval of the venture to determine a required proportion of return is compared with. (P Graham ET al, 2003)

Literature Review
Capital budgeting is one of the four monetary options that may be used to choose what sort of plan to invest in based on its proportion, hazard, and paybacks. All phases of development and costing entails the Public sector forming regions by discrete divisions by managers and the administration and apart from the private sector, Public sector ventures appeal to radical curiosity and political relations that include a radical decision matrix (Bozeman, 1984). According to (Jacobs, 2009), a successful capital budgeting practice is one that is an integral part of the entire costing system, and a well-designed Public finance system supports all aspects of the system, including principal spending. The purpose of capital budgets for more than one year that are intended to develop long-term assets is to examine investment opportunities (Peterson and Fabozzi, 2002). Firms are increasingly alert of the basic of reviewing the quality of a venture to assess the risk of failure and the post-completion audit practice through the capital

budget and ventures (Pike, 1996). Many methods inner rate of return (IRR) and modified inner proportion of interest and discounted cash flow direct as sophisticated as the easiest ways to help finance managers are inner rate of return (IRR) and modified inner proportion of interest and discounted cash flow direct.

Where non short and long term investments are most suited to analyses alternative Capital budgeting techniques, intangible features of traditional Capital budgeting techniques are most appropriate to decrease risks and evaluate alternative Capital budgeting techniques (Pike, 1996). Methods of capital budgeting are often decreased on non-subsidized technology (Ryan, 2002). Academics have a number of grounds for insisting on long-standing superiority NPV and IRR. First, for mutually exclusive ventures, the net present value and the range of ventured cash flows at a discount proportion show the expected change in shareholder wealth. When one in NPV intermediate term cash flows is reinvested at the cost of principal, cash flow over a long period of time is anticipated. Inner proportion of return, on the other hand, is larger than the cost of principal for the venture inner proportion of return is reinvested into is handled in the intermediate term cash flow positive NPV. Finally, numerous sign changes have no effect on NPV cash flow. There is a means to improve shareholder value or approve a venture that will minimize the monetary amount ventured (Ryan, 2002). Firm size, discounting methods, sensitivity analysis, and other complex approaches have been offered to determine the usage of advanced procedures that may not be a direct causative component and risk analysis methodologies that have an impact on the usage of computer-based capital budget packages (Pike, 1996). He also adds an incremental inner proportion of return in addition to the usual sensitivity analysis and scenario analysis, cash flow and inflation proportion, and economic value (Ryan, 2002).

On the one hand, and valued firms for the appraisal of new ventures using the most up-to-date approaches. On the other hand, rather of evaluating the use of a specific venture plan, a big number of or business is evaluating the use of a companywide discount proportion. Surprisingly, the size of the survey firm has a considerable impact on corporations finance practice, suggesting that, for example, While the net present value of small business methods and the principal asset pricing typical venture to use more evaluation, large companies pay more vulnerable to small businesses to use the test, while the net present value of small business methods and the principal asset pricing model venture to use more evaluation much more likely (Graham and Harvey, 2001). It makes use of Payment and profitability indexes are frequently used by or business with small capital budgets to support the net present value and inner proportion of return (Ryan, 2002). Because of advanced manufacturing technology development and competition, some writers believe that discounted cash flow based on current accounting model is no longer appropriate, primarily due to the short and long term investments, intangible nature of technological innovation, which helps define the benefits involved. Many authors claim that a narrow focus can lead to significant principal investment Capital budgeting techniques for evaluating inappropriate claims that investment myopia can lead to significant principal investment Capital budgeting techniques for evaluating inappropriate claims that a narrow focus can lead to significant principal investment Capital budgeting techniques (Slagmulder, ET al, 1995).

Methods for making investment decisions Such as the scale of the venture, the value of the size, and many other risk variables, according to the firm. These elements have yet to be revealed. Firms with the right to practice should practice using accounting earnings rather than cash flow (Brealey and Myers, 2003). Estimated cash flow and cost of principal, including interest budgets, as a result of the investment ventures' fall. Interest and other financing charges for the decision-making practice is a mistake and should not be included in the venture's cash flow account (Berman, 1993). However, the proportion of inflation should be included in the decision-making companies. For long-term initiatives, removing the inflation effect may yield results. The real cash flows discounted at a proportion or proportions are added in two methods (Bennouna et al, 2010). The discount proportion is the essential aspect in the computations. The cost of principal for a debt and equity corporation, as well as preferred stock and ordinary equity, is intended to be calculated using a weighted average pricing (Brigham and Earhart, 2002). In terms of market capitalization, the company's weight or goal principal structure should be determined. Companies have various divisions, each with a varying amount of risk (Bennouna et al, 2010). Markets should be included in investment ventures so that the discount proportion matches the specified item. (Ross et al, 2005) (Frank HM Verbeeten, 2005).

Methodology

The nature of the study is quantitative. Using structured surveys/annual reports, small trial reviews, and case studies on chosen schemes undertaken by state-owned entities/companies, the focus will be on determining the extent to which Capital budgeting techniques are used to Public sector principal investments or ventures. A study that is focused with discovering who, what, where, when, and how much, according to (Blumberg, Cooper, and Schindler, 2005) is descriptive in nature The sample size has been limited to 294 firms since 450 companies were chosen from a population that is no longer part of the population. Because roughly 156 companies could not be accessed on the Pakistan Stock Exchange, the sample size has been reduced to 294 companies. The firms have been contacted through e-mail and will be sending a questionnaire in the form of a document to be filled out online. As a result, various statistical approaches (sampling) would be applied for this aim. Follow-up practices for each company's CEO/CFO to phone and ask them to complete out questionnaires and produce physical copies by fax or personal visit have been initiated right away. Companies have been reminded to complete a questionnaire next week. Procedures are also being personally companies and CFOs to fill out questionnaires and assigned a meeting with the competent authorities, including the 156 companies that have not responded (Bennouna ET al., 2010), which is roughly equivalent to the study shows nearly 17 percent response proportion, get a response proportion of 17.4%.

Results and Analysis

The net present value, inner proportion of return, modified inner proportion of return, profitability index, payback period method, accounting proportion of return, and real options for Capital budgeting techniques for decision making were calculated using descriptive statistics, reliability, validity, correlations, and regressions in this study. In Pakistan, the NPV of a tendency toward the usage of corporations 34 percent always, usually always use 49.1 percent is shown in Table 1. Investment Appraisal has a propensity to use technology as IRR Firms (47.2 percent), but only a small percentage of the majority (11.3 percent) does not use the MIRR since the original assessment procedure is always working. The majority of businesses (39.6%) always utilize PB, while only 17% never do. The majority of Pakistani enterprises (70%) do not apply actual data options. The most direct short and long term investments employing NPV is Study businesses (60.4 percent).

Table 1. Methods of Evaluating Investment Initiatives.

Variable/ Name Usage Level in %	Never	Almost Never	Almost Always	Always
Net Present Value (NPV)	15.1	1.9	49.1	34.0
Internal Rate of Return (IRR)	7.5	28.3	24.5	39.6
Modified Internal Rate of Return (MIRR)	47.2	28.3	13.2	11.3
Profitability Index (PI)	15.1	17.0	28.3	39.6
Payback Period (PB)	39.6	17.0	26.4	17.0
Accounting Rate of Return (ARR)	45.3	24.5	15.1	15.1
Real Options (RO)	69.8	17.0	7.5	5.7

Various firms in Pakistan (39 percent), the majority of the operations, the new operation plans to grow to about 34 percent, and approximately 27 percent of the company's present expansion plans alternative ventures suggest that these ventures have been identified. Data undergraduate CFOs NPV is less likely to use shows, and CFO education is linked to the use of complex diagnostic tools. 50% of undergraduate CFOs do not utilize it, but 50% of IRRs are equally likely to use it. Only 25% of people utilize it on occasion. CFOs with a higher level of knowledge or NPV, IRR, and MIRR are meant for usage. Firms, small companies with a total of 1000 workers for the purpose of analysis, and large companies with a total of 1000 employees are classified into two groups. Large corporations nearly always employ the 37.5

percent NPV ratio, and 37.5 percent almost always uses NPV. According to the NPV method for evaluating investment ventures, 25% of major enterprises are not profitable. Small businesses score reasonably well when it comes to NPV. The usage of IRR by large corporations is practically never used, while 28 percent of small enterprises never employ 16 percent IRR. Analyze the most advanced methodologies 35.7 percent of major corporations use 60 percent smaller robustness, as MIRR never fails to indicate. Only 14.3 percent of big and small businesses, and 8% of startups, always review investment initiatives using MIRR technology.

PI is more likely to utilize that represent major robustness as compared to statistically tiny companies (20 percent virtually always, 48 percent almost always) (35.7 percent almost always, always 32.1 percent). The most common way of payment is. Small businesses (14 percent) and big businesses (32 percent) are the most common users of discounted cash flow approaches, which are employed in conjunction with other payment methods. Accounting proportion of return is not a common corporations practice in Pakistan; 40% of small businesses and 50% of big businesses never pay on arrival, and both small and large businesses are discouraged. Small businesses have never used real options theory, while large businesses have the lowest proportion of 14.3 percent who always use it and 10.7 percent who nearly usually use it. As the firms are 60%, the direct approach is used to calculate 80% to 60% of the discounted cash flows using NPV. 40% utilize inner proportion of return (IRR), whereas 40% do not use discounted cash flow (17.4%). Table 6 reveals that the majority of businesses (75 percent of large businesses and 76 percent of smaller businesses) employ various proportions of return for different ventures. According to data, 89 percent of businesses employ discounted cash flow methodologies. Only 77.4 percent of cash flow is utilized in discounted cash flow by 89 percent of enterprises. Only 62.3 percent of businesses use WACC. Many enterprises, 32.1 percent of the values given weight, are still in operation.

Descriptive statistics for various sub-sections demons proportion that around 24.5 percent of enterprises do not employ diverse proportions of return; instead, they return for a wide variety of divisions of the company using single-use proportions. A comparison of big and small corporations reveals 25% and 24% of distinct divisions or ventures, the exchange proportion of different distribution firms for small enterprises, and all ventures utilizing a single discount proportion, respectively. The chi square test showed that the proportion of return between various sub-analyses was.007 in relation to the size of the firm and the proportion of return between different sub-analyses. Also, set the significance threshold to = 0.05, which is higher than the chi-square value of 0.933. According to study, 18.9% of or business do not consider the risk component in their cash flow, whether nominal or real. Using scenario analysis and sensitivity analysis, only a few firms (34 percent and 30.2 percent, respectively) use descriptive analysis. Firms that specialize in simulation and decision tree analysis should be avoided. Surprisingly, just 17% of respondents used the risk adjusted discount proportion. Descriptive data for or business that use a quality-based short and long term plans reflect the majority, with 69.8%, 75.5 percent, and 84.9 percent, at least a considerable number of enterprises having an investment handbook. Standard models for calculations are used in the analysis, with 75.5 percent of enterprises holding a post-audit evaluation for capital budgeting.

Limitations of the Study

The survey's first possible flaw is response bias, and the study's second flaw is that it is confined to a small group of Pakistani enterprises. It is a real population representative sample. The capital budget for businesses in other parts of the country that can use the same application procedures Traditional survey methodologies are used in the third study. It also serves as a baseline for comparison with earlier studies, as no previous study has been conducted in Pakistan, where businesses have had the opportunity to explore the new. The capital budget was the fourth area of this investigation that was constrained. One of the deciding considerations is the discounted cash flow alone advanced capital budget. Finally, this study backs up the assumption that managers make logical judgments. In addition, study into the proper application of discounted cash flow methods that take effect in the context of or business case studies to investigate further elements through other methods directly in depth study of the methods that can be made in relation to Study in the area of taxes, unpredictable government policies, energy crisis, inflation, terrorism discounted cash flow country specific factors can be studied in the risk adjustment.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The study's goal was to look at the Capital budgeting techniques utilized by Pakistan's state-owned enterprises. It was discovered that the capital budgeting principles are not always strictly followed for a variety of reasons. These include the necessity to complete a venture that has been designated as an emergency and must be completed immediately, as well as initiatives that are launched due to political pressure and are deemed urgent. The findings of the study with the State Owned Entities led to the following conclusions. The capital budget of Pakistan's sophisticated firms is examined in this study. From this study, the following major conclusions may be inferred. The literature recommends discounted cash flow as the most effective method. Although discounted cash flow methods are employed, they are not as common as discounted cash flow methods. Because of the modified inner proportion of return and genuine possibilities such as the usage of the most up-to-date tactics in corporations sophistication in Pakistan. The vast majority of businesses employ the short and long term plans, although the sharpness is minimal. Improved modified inner proportion of return and real options, in particular, must be used in many sectors with the lowest incidence.

A fourth company has additional areas where better administrative procedures, well-qualified analysts, the use of contemporary information systems, cost estimation audit office, and ventures with varied levels of uncertainty might be implemented. This study fits into the twenty-first century Pakistan by demonstrating where the body of knowledge on principal rises in a nation that borders Pakistan and is confined to a brief survey. This study improves the procedure by applying discounted cash flow approaches to solve the most common problems identified or business are still utilizing outdated methods and modifying inner proportions of return using actual choices, which is why there is still opportunity for improvement to be adopted in Pakistani companies. It is also advised that sample size be increased for future study in other areas. The Public sector is under growing pressure to enhance its operations and provide key services more effectively at the lowest possible cost to customers. Furthermore, the success of these state-owned companies has a significant impact on the Pakistani economy. As a result, study on the extent of engagement and contribution, whether negative or positive, by Public offices with principal investment ventures managed by State Owned entities/companies is advised. Other sectors, rather than this one, should do study with a big sample size.

References

Anand, M. (2002). Corporate finance practices in India: A survey. *Vikalpa*, *27*(4), 29-56.

Arnold, G. C., & Hatzopoulos, P. D. (2000). The theory-practice gap in capital budgeting: evidence from the United Kingdom. *Journal of business finance & Accounting*, *27*(5-6), 603-626.

Avram, E. L., Savu, L., Avram, C., IGNAT, A. B., Vancea, S., & Horja, M. I. (2009). INVESTMENT DECISION AND ITS APPRAISAL. *Annals of DAAAM & Proceedings*.

Babu, C. P., & Sharma, A. (1995). Capital budgeting practices in Indian industry: An empirical study. *ASCI journal of Management*, *25*(1), 34-43.

Bennouna, K., Meredith, G. G., & Marchant, T. (2010). Improved capital budgeting decision making: evidence from Canada. *Management decision*.

Bierman, J. R., & Smidt, S. (2012). *The capital budgeting decision: economic analysis of investment projects*. Routledge.

Boedeker, R. R., Hughes, S. B., & Paulson Gjerde, K. A. (2011). Capital investment decisions: Moving beyond traditional financial models. *Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance*, *22*(3), 53-61.

Boothe, P. M. (1993). Capital budgeting in the public sector: lessons from Alberta's history.

Bosch, M. T., Montllor-Serrats, J., & Tarrazon, M. A. (2007). NPV as a function of the IRR: the value drivers of investment projects. *Journal of applied finance*, *17*(2), 41.

Bozeman, J. L. (1984). The capital budget: History and future directions. *Public Budgeting & Finance*, *4*(3), 18-30.

Brealey, R. A., Cooper, I. A., & Habib, M. A. (1997). Investment appraisal in the public sector. *Oxford*

Review of Economic Policy, *13*(4), 12-28.

Brealey, R. A., Myers, S. C., & Allen, F. (2008). Principles of corporate finance . New York: McGraw-Hill Irwin.

Brigham, E. F., & Ehrhardt, M. C. (2013). *Financial Management: Theory & Practice (Book Only)*. Cengage Learning.

Brookfield, D. (1995). Risk and capital budgeting: avoiding the pitfalls in using NPV when risk arises. *Management decision*.

Brunzell, T., Liljeblom, E., & Vaihekoski, M. (2013). Determinants of capital budgeting methods and hurdle rates in Nordic firms. *Accounting & Finance*, *53*(1), 85-110.

Cary, D. (1998). An integrated approach to alternative capital budgeting techniques, mutually exclusive projects, and consistency with the net present value rule. In *Allied Academies International Conference. Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies. Proceedings* (Vol. 3, No. 1, p. 41). Jordan Whitney Enterprises, Inc.

Chandra, P. (2011). *Financial management*. Tata McGraw-Hill Education.

Cherukuri, U. R. (1996). Capital budgeting practices: A comparative study of India and select South East Asian countries. *ASCI Journal of Management*, *25*(2), 30-46.

Crawford, L., Costello, K., Pollack, J., & Bentley, L. (2003). Managing soft change projects in the public sector. *International Journal of Project Management*, *21*(6), 443-448.

Derregia, M., & Chittenden, F. (2004). *Capital investment decision-making: some results from studying entrepreneurial businesses*. Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales.

Donohue, S., & Downing, L. M. (2005). *Capital budgeting: do private sector methods of budgeting for capital assets have applicability to the Department of Defense*. NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY CA GRADUATE SCHOOL OF BUSINESS AND PUBLIC POLICY.

Doss Jr, C. B. (1986). The Role of Capital Budgeting and Related Fiscal Management Tools in Municipal Governments. *State & Local Government Review*, 101-108.

Egbide, B. C., Uwuigbe, U., & Agbude, G. A. (2013). Capital budgeting, government policies and the performance of SMEs in Nigeria: a hypothetical case analysis. *IFE PsychologIA: An International Journal*, *21*(1), 55-73.

Farragher, E. J., Kleiman, R. T., & Sahu, A. P. (1999). Current capital investment practices. *The Engineering Economist*, *44*(2), 137-150.

Farragher, E. J., Kleiman, R. T., & Sahu, A. P. (1999). Current capital investment practices. *The Engineering Economist*, *44*(2), 137-150.

Flyvbjerg, B., Skamris Holm, M. K., & Buhl, S. L. (2003). How common and how large are cost overruns in transport infrastructure projects?. *Transport reviews*, *23*(1), 71-88.

Gil, N. (2007). On the value of project safeguards: Embedding real options in complex products and systems. *Research Policy*, *36*(7), 980-999.

Graham, A. (2011). Public sector financial management for managers. *School of Policy Studies, Queens's University Kingston. Canada, CANADEM*.

Graham, P., Ron, B., & Rob, B. (2003). Business Finance. *Australia: McGraw Hill*, *8*, 130-170.

Graham, J. R., & Harvey, C. R. (2001). The theory and practice of corporate finance: Evidence from the field. *Journal of financial economics*, *60*(2-3), 187-243.

Haddad, K., Sterk, W., & Wu, A. (2010). Capital budgeting practices of Taiwanese firms. *Journal of International Management Studies*, *5*(1), 178-182.

Hansen, D. (1998). Capital budgeting techniques. *Australasian radiology*, *42*(1), 38-41.

Hermes, N., Smid, P., & Yao, L. (2007). Capital budgeting practices: A comparative study of the Netherlands and China. *International Business Review*, *16*(5), 630-654.

Holmen, M., & Pramborg, B. (2009). Capital budgeting and political risk: Empirical evidence. *Journal of International Financial Management & Accounting*, *20*(2), 105-134.

Jain, P. K., & Yadav, S. S. (2005). Financial management practices in public sector enterprises: a study of capital budgeting decisions. *Journal of advances in management research*.

Kee, R., & Robbins, W. (1991). Capital budgeting in the public sector: a comparative analysis. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 288-302.

Kierulff, H. (2008). MIRR: A better measure. *Business Horizons*, *51*(4), 321-329.

Kulatilaka, N. (1985). Capital budgeting and optimal timing of investments in flexible manufacturing systems. *Annals of Operations Research*, *3*(2), 35-57.

Lilian Chan, Y. C. (2004). Use of capital budgeting techniques and an analytic approach to capital investment decisions in Canadian municipal governments. *Public Budgeting & Finance*, *24*(2), 40-58.

Maccarrone, P. (1996). Organizing the capital budgeting process in large firms. *Management Decision*.

Magni, C. A. (2009). Correct or incorrect application of CAPM? Correct or incorrect decisions with CAPM?. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *192*(2), 549-560.

Millar, A. (1988). Selecting capital investment projects for local governments. *Public Budgeting & Finance*, *8*(3), 63-77.

Mukherjee, T. K., & Henderson, G. V. (1987). The capital budgeting process: theory and practice. *Interfaces*, *17*(2), 78-90.

Payne, J. D., Heath, W. C., & Gale, L. R. (1999). Comparative financial practice in the US and Canada: Capital budgeting and risk assessment techniques. *Financial Practice and Education*, *9*, 16-24.

Peterson, P. P., & Fabozzi, F. J. (2002). *Capital budgeting: theory and practice* (Vol. 10). John Wiley & Sons.

Pike, R. (1996). A longitudinal survey on capital budgeting practices. *Journal of business finance & accounting*, *23*(1), 79-92.

Ryan, P. A., & Ryan, G. P. (2002). Capital budgeting practices of the Fortune 1000: how have things changed. *Journal of business and management*, *8*(4), 355-364.

Schubert, W., & Barenbaum, L. (2007). Real options and public sector capital project decision-making. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*.

Slagmulder, R., Bruggeman, W., & Van Wassenhove, L. (1995). An empirical study of capital budgeting practices for strategic investments in CIM technologies. *International journal of production economics*, *40*(2-3), 121-152.

Jog, V. M., & Srivastava, A. K. (1995). Capital budgeting practices in corporate Canada. *Financial practice and education*, *5*(2), 37-43.

Van Horne James, C. (2002). *Financial Management & Policy, 12/E*. Pearson Education India.

Verma, S., Gupta, S., & Batra, R. (2009). A survey of capital budgeting practices in corporate India. *Vision*, *13*(3), 1-17.

Verbeeten, F. H. (2008). Performance management practices in public sector organizations: Impact on performance. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal.*